14-518-25



Pennsylvania Council of Churches

900 South Arlington Avenue, Suite 100 • Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17109-5089 Phone: 717 545 4761 • Fax: 717 545 4765 • pcc@pachurches.org • www.pachurches.org

May 24, 2010

VIA EMAIL (EZogby@state.pa.us)

Edward J. Zogby, Director, Bureau of Policy Health and Welfare Building, 4th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17105

0.0	Ciffice of incurse Maintenance	
33	RECEIVED	reau of Policy
	MAY 262010	37 25 2016
	INDEPENDENT REGULATORY REVIEW COMMISS	

RE: Proposed Regulations # 14-518 Revisions to the Special Allowance for Supportive Services Requirements

28

Dear Mr. Zogby:

On behalf of the Pennsylvania Council of Churches, I write to oppose the Department of Public Welfare's recently proposed regulations that would severely and unnecessarily limit the availability of welfare-to-work supports for Pennsylvania families.

The Council comprises 43 church bodies and agencies representing 20 Anabaptist, Anglican, Orthodox, Pentecostal, and Protestant communions in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania working together for Christian unity for the sake of the world We seek to inform, educate, and empower people of faith on significant public issues and to coordinate and articulate public policy positions that reflect the Council's constituent church bodies' commitment to peace, justice, equality and compassion for all people.

Our concern in commenting upon these regulations is related to our view on the value of human beings and our responsibility for caring for our most vulnerable citizens. We believe that we must ensure that all persons have access to what they need to live lives of dignity— including, but not limited to, access to adequate health care, housing, food, education, and supports that enable them to work and build families and communities.

Special allowances allow families receiving TANF or SNAP (food stamp) benefits to obtain employment, education, or training. Current TANF grants pay less than one-third of the poverty line. A family of three, for example, receives only \$403 per month in most Pennsylvania counties. This is simply not enough to pay the costs of transportation, books, school supplies and other work supports that families face when trying to better themselves and move off of welfare. The Department of Public Welfare's (DPW's) proposals would make it even more difficult for families to escape poverty.

This package of regulations is not necessary, as existing regulations and policies, strengthened last Fall by DPW through sub-regulatory changes, already ensure that work support are issued only to families who prove both that (1) the work supports are needed and (2) the payment is used for its intended purpose. It appears that DPW's main goal is to save money at the expense of the poorest Pennsylvanians – even at the risk of preventing those families from gaining education or jobs enabling them to escape poverty.

We are most concerned that the proposed regulations would impose low and arbitrary limits on the amount of critical special allowances that a family might receive. For example, an individual would only be able to receive

African Methodist Episcopal Church • African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church • American Baptist Churches, U.S.A. • Christian Church in the US and Canada (Disciples of Christ) • Christian Methodist Episcopal Church • Church of the Brethren • Church of God (Anderson, IN) • Church of God in Christ • Church Women United in Pennsylvania • Episcopal Church • Evangelical Lutheran Church in America • Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of America • Moravian Church – Northern Province • Orthodox church in America • Pennsylvania Baptist State Convention, Inc. • Presbyterian Church (USA) • Religious Society of Friends • Schwenkfelder Church • United Church of Christ • United Holy Church of America, Inc. • United Methodist Church

Edward J. Zogby May 24, 2010

\$2,000 in her lifetime to spend on books and school supplies, and \$1,500 per year on transportation If a parent reaches the maximum payment for transportation or books and supplies, she may be forced to abandon her education or training, quit a job, or stop looking for work. These arbitrary limits will prevent many people from making enough money to leave welfare behind.

We recommend that these annual and life-time limits be dropped.

We are also troubled by several other provisions:

Proposed 55 Pa. Code §165.1 (a) appears to require that those who are exempt from RESET (work) requirements as a result of the disability, domestic violence, or other circumstance, but nonetheless wish to volunteer for education or training activities, comply with hours requirements they may not be able to meet consistently. This will discourage clients with barriers from preparing themselves for eventual employment. And, we believe this provision violates the "exempt volunteer" rule in the Pennsylvania Welfare Code at 65 P.S. §405.1(b).

We recommend that DPW delete the proposed language stating that clients "and shall comply with the requirements of the AMR or EDP."

• Proposed 55 Pa. Code §165.44(b)(2)(viii) would impose a supportive services overpayment for noncompliance with work requirements, without regard to the degree of non-compliance. Under this provision, a client who, for example, attends her program for 29 hours in week, instead of the required 30 hours, could be required to pay back the full amount of supportive services payments issued to her in that week, even though those work supports were actually required and used for their intended purpose.

We recommend that DPW drop subsection (viii) from this proposed regulation.

• Proposed 55 Pa. Code §165.44(a)(2) would create unnecessary red tape by requiring employers and training providers to verify that transportation to the work or training site is required, even when the need for such transportation is readily apparent. Employers should not be asked to prove the obvious, especially as they often have no more knowledge than welfare office staff of the employee's transportation options. Individuals who live more than walking distance from their job or training site should not have to prove they need transportation to get there.

We recommend that DPW add the following exception to the requirement that need be verified: "unless, with regard to the need for transportation, readily available information regarding the travel distance demonstrates the need."

In this recession, Pennsylvanian families need more help - not less - to obtain quality education and training that will lead to self-sufficient jobs. These regulations will only hurt families as they try to work their way out of poverty. We respectfully ask that you reconsider adoption of these regulations.

Sincerely,

Enduch. Thanso

Rev. Sandra L. Strauss Director of Public Advocacy

cc: Arthur Coccodrilli, Chair, Independent Regulatory Review Commission